

Current and future approaches for the treatment of HBV

Francesco Negro University of Geneva - Switzerland

Natural history of HBV infection



WONG and LOK, Arch Intern Med 2006;166:9-12 HSU *et al,* Hepatology 2002;35:1522-7; FATTOVICH *et al,* Hepatology 1986;6:167-72

What are the endpoints of therapy in chronic hepatitis B?

- To decrease the viral load, the number of infected cells and the associated inflammation, in order to prevent liver disease progression to cirrhosis and HCC, and death
- Ideally, to eradicate HBsAg, i.e. to achieve a sterilizing cure (infrequent)
- More realistically, to reach a serological profile comparable to that of an inactive carrier:
 - In HBeAg+: seroconversion to anti-HBe
 - In anti-HBe+: persistent abatement of viral load

Cumulative incidence of HCC according to HBV DNA A prospective study (REVEAL-HBV Study)

(n=3653; mean FU 11.4 years; 41,779 person-years)



*Subgroup of patients with HBeAg-, normal ALT, no cirrhosis at enrolment (n=2925)

CHEN et al, JAMA 2006;295:65-73

Whom to treat, in 2016

Patients in the immunoactive phase

- HBeAg+, VL > 2,000 UI/mL, elevated ALT
- HBeAg-, VL > 2,000 UI/mL, elevated ALT (but fluctuating!)

Inactive carriers (HBeAg-, VL < 2,000 UI/mL, persistently normal ALT)

In case of immune-suppressive therapy, to prevent viral reactivation

Immune tolerant patients (HBeAg+, VL > 6 log UI/mL, normal ALT)

If family history of cirrhosis or HCC (Other criteria? In case of sudden VL reduction? If ALT levels in the upper range of normality? All of them?)

Pregnant women

 If VL > 6 log UI/mL, during the last trimester of pregnancy, to prevent transmission to the newborn (together with HBIG and vaccine)

> EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines 2012; AASLD guidelines 2015 HUANG et al, JAMA 2014; PERRILLO et al, JAMA 2015; CHAN et al, Gastroenterology 2014 CHEN et al, Hepatology 2015; BROWN et al, 2016; VISVANATHAN et al, Gut 2016

Two classes of drugs

INTERFERON

Immunomodulating and antiviral effect (rarely leads to HBsAg loss)

Treatment duration is finite

Safety issues Many contraindications

ANALOGUES

Only antiviral effect

Lead to suppression of HBV, very rarely to eradication

Require administration for life (risk of relapse at treatment cessation)

Virological and biochemical response rates to current HBV treatments

	Entecavir ^{1,2}	Tenofovir ³	PEG-IFN α -2a ^{4,5}	
HBeAg positive	n = 354	n = 176	n = 271	
HBV DNA undetectable	67%	76%	25% ^a	
HBeAg seroconversion	21%	21%	27%	
ALT normalisation	68%	68%	39%	
HBsAg loss	2%	3.2%	2.9% ^b	
HBeAg negative	n = 325	n = 250	n = 177	
HBV DNA undetectable	90%	93%	63% ^a	
ALT normalisation	78%	76%	38%	
HBsAg loss	0.3%	0%	0.6% ^b	

Results at 48 weeks; ^aHBV DNA < 400 copies/mL; ^bAt 72 weeks

 1. CHANG et al, N Engl J Med 2006;354:1001–10;
 2. LAI et al, N Engl J Med 2006;354:1011-20

 3. MARCELLIN et al, N Engl J Med 2008;359:2442–55;
 4. LAU et al, N Engl J Med 2005;352:2682-95

 5. MARCELLIN et al, N Engl J Med 2004;351:1206–17

A 1-year treatment with NUCs leads to a modest decrease of intrahepatic cccDNA

(only 5/117 or 4% had undetectable cccDNA)



Long-term NUC therapy and fibrosis improvement



Propensity-score study of HCC prevention by NUC treatment of chronic hepatitis B: a stratified sub-analysis

	Untreated		Treated			
Subgroup	Ν	HCC	Ν	нсс		HR (95% CI)
Age						
(0, 40]	8945	713	9390	61	— —	0.13 (0.10-0.17)
(40,50]	6039	1410	5321	223		0.30 (0.26-0.34)
(50,100)	6611	2331	6884	708		0.49 (0.45-0.54)
Gender						
Female	4982	976	5281	195		0.36 (0.31-0.42)
Male	16613	3478	16314	797	-	0.37 (0.35-0.40)
Cirrhosis						1
No	18579	3478	18748	521	-#-	0.27 (0.24-0.29)
Yes	3016	976	2847	471	-#-	0.72 (0.64-0.81)
Diabetes						
No	20021	4081	20005	824	-	0.34 (0.31-0.36)
Yes	1574	373	1590	168	— — —	0.69 (0.57-0.84)
Total	21595	4454	21595	992	+	0.37 (0.34-0.40)
					0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.7 Favor antiviral therapy	1 1

WU et al, Gastroenterology 2014;147:143-51

Cumulative incidence of HCC in 1666 chronic hepatitis B patients treated with entecavir and/or tenofovir, by liver disease severity



PAPATHEODORIS et al, J Hepatol 2015;62:363-70

Prevention of HCC by NUC treatment in advanced HBV-related liver disease is suboptimal

- Reversal of cirrhosis is not universal
- Persistence of additional causes of liver disease (metabolic syndrome, surreptitious alcohol drinking)
- Pre-existing somatic mutations due to long-standing exposure to carcinogens (aflatoxin)
- Persistence of integrated HBV DNA at sensitive sites
- Epigenetic changes (miR602, TFIIH, miR148a...)



Epigenetic modifications ?

(adapted from FARAZI & DEPINHO, Nat Rev Cancer 2006)

Main limitations of current treatments

- Limited access to care
- Not all patients are treated, especially during the immuno-active phase (minimal hepatitis or non-inflammatory phase are beyond current guidelines)
- IFN- α is poorly tolerated and has a low response rate
- Nucleos(t)ide analogues must be given for life, potentially leading to the emergence of RAS (especially in case of use of low barrier to resistance drugs in resource poor countries) and safety issues
- The cccDNA decline rate is very slow (partly due to continous replenishment of the pool due to incomplete viral suppression)
- HBsAg clearance is rare (although the most desirable endpoint) with potential, continuing effects on adaptive immune response
- The risk of HCC is not eliminated, despite viral «response»

MASON and ZOULIM, Gut 2012; GISH et al, Lancet Infect Dis 2014; AASLD/APASL/EASL guidelines PAPATHEODORIDIS et al, J Viral Hepat 2016; REVILL et al, Nature Reviews Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016 BUTI *et al*, Dig Dis Sci 2015; YUEN *et al*, Gastroenterology 2008; LOK *et al*, Hepatology 2016 WERLE *et al*, Gastroenterology 2004; MARCELLIN *et al*, AASLD 2014; BOYD *et al*, EASL 2016

With current technologies, a sterilizing HBV cure is unlikely



cccDNA is inactivated

DURANTEL and ZOULIM, J Hepatol 2016 ZEISEL *et al*, Gut 2015; REVILL *et al*, Nature Reviews Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016

What about the future?

Target #1 : viral entry



YAN et al, eLife 2012; URBAN et al, Gastroenterology 2014

NTCP expression supports WMHBV infection of HepG2 cells



ZHONG et al, J Virol 2013;87:7176-7184



Target #2 : the viral minichromosome (cccDNA)

cccDNA degradation

• IFN α or Lymphotoxin- β -induced, core-mediated activation of cytidine deaminase APOBEC3A/B

LUCIFORA et al, Science 2014

cccDNA deletions

• CRISPR/Cas9

SEEGER et al, Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 2014

cccDNA transcription suppression

• Via inhibition of p300/CBP histone acetyltransferase

BELLONI et al, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009

TROPBERGER et al, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015

• Via increased binding of transcriptional repressors to IFN-stimulated RE

BELLONI et al, J Clin Invest 2012

• Blocking Smc5/6 degradation

DECORSIERE et al, Nature 2016

Specific and Nonhepatotoxic Degradation of Nuclear Hepatitis B Virus cccDNA

Julie Lucifora,^{1,2}* Yuchen Xia,¹* Florian Reisinger,¹ Ke Zhang,¹ Daniela Stadler,¹ Xiaoming Cheng,¹ Martin F. Sprinzl,^{1,3} Herwig Koppensteiner,¹ Zuzanna Makowska,⁴ Tassilo Volz,⁵ Caroline Remouchamps,⁶ Wen-Min Chou,¹ Wolfgang E. Thasler,⁷ Norbert Hüser,⁸ David Durantel,⁹ T. Jake Liang,¹⁰ Carsten Münk,¹¹ Markus H. Heim,⁴ Jeffrey L. Browning,¹² Emmanuel Dejardin,⁶ Maura Dandri,^{2,5} Michael Schindler,¹ Mathias Heikenwalder,¹†‡ Ulrike Protzer^{1,2}†‡

Getting Rid of a Persistent Troublemaker to Cure Hepatitis



LUCIFORA et al, Science 2014;343:1221-8; SHLOMAI & RICE, Science 2014;343:1212-3

The HBV X protein promotes the Smc5/6 degradation in PHH by hijacking the cellular DDB1-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase

→ release of the Smc5/6 transcription inhibition of episomal DNA templates



DECORSIERE et al, Nature 2016;531:386-9; LIANG, Nature 2016;531:313-4

Target #3 : the viral envelope

Strategies under study:

- RNA interference (siRNA)
- Nucleic acid polymers (NAPs)

Goals:

- To inhibit virion production
- To restore the antiviral activity of exhausted T cells

ARC-520 (anti-HBs siRNA) induces a profound and durable knockdown of viral antigens and DNA in a phase II study



Impact of integrated sequences on siRNA efficacy

Challenges:

- HBV sequence diversity (10 genotypes, 7.5% heterogeneity)
- Uptake by appropriate cell type (liposomes, cholesterol conjugation)
- Endosomal escape (cationic lipids, melitin-like peptide)
- Avoid innate immune response (complex lipids, chemical modifications) ٠
- IV administration!

YUEN et al, AASLD 2015, abstract #LB-9

HBsAg reduction in therapy naive



REP-2139 (Nucleic Acid Polymer) 500 mg IV qW monotherapy (REP 101 study, n=7)



Anti-HBs seroconversion observed in patients treated with REP-2139 + Zadaxin Phase II study in hepatitis B started in October 2015 in Moldova eplicor

AL-MAHTAB et al, 2015

Target #4 : the viral capsid



NVR 3-778 targets the N-terminal assembly domain of HBV core, leads to defective core particles and reduces serum HBV DNA and RNA



Mean Viral Load Change (HBV DNA) from Day 1



YUEN *et al,* AASLD 2015, abstract #LB-10 KLUMPP K *et al,* Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015;112:15196-201

Target #5 : the host immune system

 HBV persists with virus-specific and global T-cell dysfunction mediated by multiple regulatory mechanisms, but without distinct T-cell-based immune signatures for clinical phenotypes

PARK et al, Gastroenterology 2015

- Children and young adults with chronic hepatitis B have a Th1-cell cytokine profile and a **partial profile of T-cell exhaustion**
- Young patients with CHB have more HBV-specific T cells with the ability to proliferate and produce cytokines than adult patients with CHB
- HBV infection in younger patients is *not associated* with an immune profile of T-cell tolerance

KENNEDY et al, Gastroenterology 2012

• HBV exposure *in utero* induces a robust Th1-polarized response in the newborn (« trained immunity »)

HONG et al, Nature Comm 2015

Immune checkpoint inhibitors may restore pre-existing immune responses: the example of anti-PD-1

- Blockade of PD-1 increases responses of liver HBV-specific T cells FISICARO *et al*, Gastroenterology 2012
- Blockade of PD-1 with BMS-936558 (Medarex-1106, nivolumab), a fully human anti-PD-1 monoclonal IgG4 in a single, ascending dose, phase I trial in *hepatitis C* led to significant HCV RNA reductions (one patient aviremic one year after dosing)

GARDINER et al, PLoS One 2013

Conclusions

Current treatments for HBV:

- Allow suppression of viral replication in most patients who have access to potent NUCs
- Must be prolonged for life, with limited effects on HBsAg and cccDNA
- Do not eliminate completely the risk of complications

Future treatments for HBV:

- Will allow reaching a functional / complete cure (a sterilizing cure is unrealistic with current technologies)
- Ideally, should be short-term
- May require a paradigm shift, e.g. targeting host gatekeepers (innate and adaptive responses)